

# **Environmental Product Declaration**

In accordance with ISO 14025 and EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 for

#### Product family

Elitfönster Original Trä

Model

Window Fixed frame Wood

Product name

EFK

From

Elitfönster AB Box 153 574 22 Vetlanda

Publication date 2022-05-16 Valid for 5 years until 2027-05-16

#### Programme

The International EPD® System, www.environdec.com

#### **Programme operator** EPD International AB

#### EPD registration number S-P-05381

An EPD should provide current information and may be updated if conditions change. The stated validity is therefore subject to the continued registration and publication at www.environdec.com









**Environmental Product Declarations (EPD)** present transparent, verified and comparable information about the life-cycle environmental impact of products.

The International EPD<sup>®</sup> System is a global program for environmental declarations based on ISO 14025 and EN 15804. The EPD online database currently contains more than 1100 EPDs for a wide range of product categories by organisations in 45 countries.

#### **Company information**

**Owner of the EPD** Elitfönster AB Honnörsgatan 2 352 36 Växjö

#### Description of the organisation

Elitfönster AB is with its wide range of windows, Sweden's leading window manufacturers with traditions from Småland since 1924. The company has about 1,000 employees and is represented throughout Sweden.

Since 2004 Elitfönster AB has been a part of Inwido. As Europe's leading window group, Inwido's business concept is to develop and sell the market's best customized window and door solutions through a decentralized structure and with a focus on the consumer-driven market, in order to create long-term sustainable growth, organically and through acquisitions. Inwido consists of 28 business units with approximately 4,300 employees in eleven countries.

#### Contact/Certification and test manager

Mats Brånäs Tel 010-451 42 19 Mobile 070-388 41 89 E-mail mats.branas@elitfonster.se

# Product-related or management system-related certifications

ISO 9001:2015, ISO 14001:2015 Sunda Hus, Byggvarubedömningen, Basta

#### Average or specific EPD: Average

- Standard and energy variations. The energy variation has up to 10 % higher environmental impacts with the most differing impact category being abiotic depletion of elements (ADPE). Climate change has about 2% higher impacts for the energy type window.
- Production sites
   Production is taking place in both Vetlanda and Lenhovda.
   An average weighted number is presented in the results table based on the production volume from the different sites. The most differing impacts is ionising radiation (IRP). for Vetlanda it's 8% higher than the weighted value and in Lenhovda it's 5% lower. Climate change is same for both Vetlanda and Lenhovda.

Since this difference is within +/-10%, for all cases described above, the results will be presented in one results table for 1 m<sup>2</sup> EFK with energy glass.





## **Product information**

#### Fixed window Wood - EFK

Fixed wooden frame with a 3-glazed insulating glass glazed from the outside.

The finished window weighs 34.88 kg per m<sup>2</sup>.

According to the Construction Products Regulation CPR (EU) no. 305/2011, the essential properties of products must be declared in the CE marking and Declaration of Performance. The technical properties of the window are reported in the following Declaration of performance, which can be accessed on Elitfönster's website.

DoP nr 61-29-CE1020101 Construction product declaration eBVD nr C-SE556007307301-38

A picture of Fixed window Wood – EFK can be seen to the right.

#### **Energy glass**

Energy glass consists of a float glass that is coated with a thin film of metal oxide that lets through short-wave solar energy and reflects long-wave room heat.

The coating is almost completely transparent, but there is some difference in light input between coated glass and uncoated glass.

Coated glass is used to achieve better insulating ability in a glass, by combining different numbers of coated glass in a window or insulating glass, you can achieve different levels of insulating ability for a window.

The greater the number of energy glasses a window has, the better the insulation capacity, but also the darker the glass.

#### Gas

An insulating glass consists of glass that are separated from each other by spacers, these spacers can be filled with gas such as argon to give the insulating glass a better insulating ability. Argon does not affect sunlight radiation but improves the insulating ability of the insulating glass. An insulating glass with two glasses consists of an argon gas-filled spacer, an insulating glass with three glasses has two spacers, here you can choose to fill one or both spacers with argon gas.

If you fill both distances with gas, you achieve a better insulation capacity than if only one distance is gas-filled.

By combining different sets of energy-coated glass and argon-filled glass spacers, you can get different glass properties for insulation and light input.

If you also combine these components with different types of glass spacing and dimensions of constituent components as well as different choices of type of glass, you have an almost infinite number of different combinations.

This EPD covers both standard and energy windows, the difference in results is described under "average or specific EPD". The results table is based on results of energy glass.

#### Standard

The insulating glass consists of three glasses separated by two glass spacers made of plastic (hot edge). The inner glass is energy coated and the inner glass spacer is filled with argon.

#### Energy

The same insulating glass construction as standard, except that both the inner and outer glass are energy-coated and that both glass spacers are filled with argon.



# D Elitfönster

| LCA information              |  |
|------------------------------|--|
| Functional Unit              | The functional unit used in this report is 1 m <sup>2</sup> .<br>The weight of finished EFK is 34.88 kg per m <sup>2</sup> .   |
|                              | Standard size is 1230 x 1480mm   |
| Reference Service Life (RSL) | The RSL is set to 40 years.  |
| Product group classification | UN CPC 42120   |
| Goal and Scope               | The result will be used to understand where the environmental burden for the product occurs during the life cycle and aim to lay a road map for development to reduce this burden. The result will be communicated by the International EPD system.  |
| Manufacturing Site           | Brogårdsgatan 1, 574 38, Vetlanda, Sverige, Industrigatan, 360 73, Lenhovda, Sverige   |
| Geographical Area            | Europe   |
| Compliant with               | This EPD follows the "Book-keeping" LCA approach which is defined as an attributional LCA in the ISO 14040 standard.   |
|                              | <ul> <li>The EPD is compliant with:</li> <li>ISO 14025</li> <li>EN 15804:2012+A2:2019</li> <li>Product Category Rules PCR 2019:14. Construction products and construction services Version 1.11</li> <li>Sub-PCR-007 Windows and doors (EN 17213)</li> </ul>   |
| Cut-Off Rules                | The procedure below is followed for the exclusion of inputs and outputs according to the EN 15804:2012+ A2:2019 standard:  |
|                              | <ul> <li>In the case of insufficient input data or data gaps for a unit process, the cut-off criterion<br/>is 1 % of renewable and non-renewable primary energy usage and 1 % of the total mass<br/>input to that unit process.</li> </ul>   |
|                              | <ul> <li>The maximum neglected input flows per declared module (A1- A3) is 5 % of energy<br/>usage and mass.</li> </ul>  |
|                              | No cut-offs have been made concerning specific data in this study.   |
| Background Data              | The data quality of the background data is considered good. All site-specific data is col-<br>lected from the year 2019. ecoinvent is the world's biggest LCI data library and the lates<br>and most updated version was used. ecoinvent's data library contain data for the specific<br>geographical regions relevant for this study. |
|                              | The assessment considers all available data from the production process, including all raw materials and auxiliary materials used as well as the energy consumption in relation to available ecoinvent 3.8 datasets for the manufacture of windows.  |
|                              | The background data from ecoinvent 3.8 are from 2016-2020  |
|                              | For some materials previously published EPDs have been utilized. The specific materials and EPDs are presented later in this report.   |
| Electricity data             | Electricity consumption in the A3 module comes from 100% wind power certified by Guarantee of Origin, Electricity is represented by data in ecoinvent 3.8 regionalized for Sweden.   |



| >> LCA information        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Assumptions               | Steel is sourced with 23% post-consumer iron scrap as is stated in the average European dataset for steel in ecoinvent 3.8   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | In A4 the transport distance is assumed to be 320km, based on average distances 2020.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | When installing and uninstalling the window no environmental aspects in addition to<br>using of electrical machines is assumed according to installation instructions from<br>Elitfönster. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | The window is assumed to require 60 ml/m <sup>2</sup> of cleaning solution and 10 ml/m <sup>2</sup> of lubrica-<br>tion oil per year.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | The used window is assumed to be transported 50km to the closest waste management facility. There it is disassembled, and the following waste treatment activities performed:              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | - Aluminum and steel are recycled at 90% collection rate   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | - Glass is landfilled at 100% landfilling rate   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | <ul> <li>Wood, paint, plastic, rubber and misc. is assumed to be incinerated with energy<br/>recovery at a municipal incineration plant at 90% incineration rate.</li> </ul>               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | Waste not recycled or incinerated is assumed to go to landfill.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allocations               | Polluter Pays / Allocation by Classification   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | Two allocation rules are applied:<br>1) the raw material necessary for manufacturing is allocated to products based on com-<br>plexity and product size of the declared unit               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | 2) the energy necessary for manufacturing is allocated to products based on complexity and product size of the declared unit   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impact Assessment methods | Potential environmental impacts are calculated with Environmental Footprint 3.0 method as implemented in SimaPro 9.3.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | Resource use values are calculated from Cumulative Energy Demand V1.11.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Based on LCA Report       | Miljögiraff report 1089 steg 5 – Livscykelanalys av fönster  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCA Practitioner          | Viktor Hakkarainen, Miljögiraff AB   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Software                  | SimaPro 9.3.0.3  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The product documented within this EPD contains no substances in the REACH Candidate list. Furthermore, the product does not contain any substances from the Norwegian priority list.

The estimated impact results are only relative statements which do not indicate the end points of the impact categories, exceeding threshold values, safety margins or risks.




### System Boundary

This is a Cradle to Grave with modules A+B+C+D (see Table 1 for included modules). The system boundary mean that all processes needed for raw material extraction, transport, manufacturing and disposal are included in the study. For an overview of the included processes see Figure 2.

|                                | Prod<br>stage       |           | Consti<br>proces |           |                           | Use | stage       |        |             |               |                        |                       | End                             | of life   | stag             | e        | Resource<br>recovery stage             |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----|-------------|--------|-------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|------------------|----------|--|
|                                | Raw material supply | Transport | Manufacturing    | Transport | Construction installation | Use | Maintenance | Repair | Replacement | Refurbishment | Operational energy use | Operational water use | De-construction demo-<br>lition | Transport | Waste processing | Disposal | Reuse-Recovery-<br>Recycling-potential |
| Module                         | A1                  | A2        | A3               | A4        | A5                        | B1  | B2          | В3     | B4          | B5            | B6                     | B7                    | C1                              | C2        | C3               | C4       | D                                      |
| Modules<br>declared            | x                   | х         | x                | х         | x                         | MND | х           | MND    | MND         | MND           | MND                    | MND                   | MND                             | х         | x                | x        | х                                      |
| Geography                      | Euro                | Euro      | SE               | SE        | SE                        |     | SE          |        |             |               |                        |                       |                                 | SE        | SE               | SE       | SE                                     |
| Average<br>data<br>variability | -                   | <10%      | <10%             | -         | -                         |     | -           |        |             |               |                        |                       |                                 | -         | -                | -        | -                                      |
| Specific<br>data               |                     |           | >90%             |           |                           |     | -           |        |             |               |                        |                       |                                 | -         | -                | -        | -                                      |

#### Table 1, show an overview of the included and accounted life cycle phases.

#### Figure 2, shows what is included in the different modules.



## Content and life cycle information

The product consists of 16 raw materials. The weight per FU and part recycled material can be seen in Table 2.

| Raw material                             | kg per m²                      | Post-consumer material,<br>weight-% |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Glass                                    | 29,7                           | 9,3                                 |
| Argon                                    | 0,011 Standard<br>0,022 Energy | 0                                   |
| Distance list                            | 0,23                           | 0                                   |
| Edge sealing compound                    | 0,47                           | 0                                   |
| Butyl                                    | 0,11                           | 0                                   |
| Desiccant                                | 0,18                           | 0                                   |
| Pinewood                                 | 8,22                           | 0                                   |
| Surface treatment for pine               | 1,11                           | 0                                   |
| Aluminium                                | 0,20                           | 0                                   |
| Powder coating aluminium                 | 0,008                          | 0                                   |
| Metal handle & Miscellaneous steel parts | 0,10                           | 23                                  |
| Plastic                                  | 0,47                           | 0                                   |
| Rubber EPDM & TPE-SEPS                   | 0,156                          | 0                                   |
| Glue                                     | -                              | 0                                   |
| Sealant                                  | 0,034                          | 0                                   |
| Waterproofing agent                      | 0,004                          | 0                                   |

The wood raw material used is pine supplied by FSC-labeled and / or PEFC-labeled suppliers that glues and finger joins the wood raw material. The wood is cut and planed and processed in Elitfönster premises in Vetlanda, the finished wood details are surface treated with a water-based paint system. Elitfönster's own glass factory, IGF in Lenhovda, uses flat glass from Europe's largest glass manufacturer. IGF cuts the glass and manufactures the insulating glass. The glass is installed in the product in Elitfönster's manufacturing unit in Vetlanda. Aluminum profiles are delivered by Hydro in Vetlanda, they are processed and powder coated on A-paint in Sävsjö, then transported to Elitfönster's manufacturing unit in Vetlanda or Lenhovda for final assembly. The finished windows are packed on a wooden pallet with plywood slats and cardboard corners and plasticized with shrink plastic. The windows are transported on pallets by truck to the customer.

To produce 1 m<sup>2</sup> product, 11,0 kWh of electricity is used as well as 6,76 kWh of heat from own combustion of wood waste created during production, 1,41 kWh of purchased district heating and 0,017 kg biogas. Electricity is certified wind power electricity.

In total, around 20% of the total incoming raw materials becomes production waste. A large part of the waste is wood.

During usage, no indoor emissions arise. The paint used is water based and all the other raw materials do not emit any emissions.

# >> Content and life cycle information

This EPD uses input data from other EPDs, the used EPDs can be viewed below:

### Table 3 Overview of utilized EPDs as input data

| Material                     | EPD name  | EPD specifications   |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Uncoated glass by Pilkington | Flat glass, toughened safety glass<br>and laminated safety glass  | Sector-EPD for flat plane glass<br>Manufacturer: Pilkington AB<br>EPD Owner: Bundesverband Flachglas e.V.<br>EPD Author: ift Rosenheim GmbH<br>EPD Platform: ift Rosenheim GmbH<br>Geography: Germany<br>Publication number: M-EPD-FEV-GB-002000<br>Publication date: 2017-12-18 |
| Uncoated glass by Guardian   | Uncoated flat glass, laminated safety glass and coated flat glass | Manufacturer: Guardian Europé S.a.r.l.<br>EPD Owner: Guardian Europé S.a.r.l.<br>EPD Author: ift Rosenheim GmbH<br>EPD Platform: ift Rosenheim GmbH<br>Geography: Germany<br>Publication number: EPD-GFEV-GB-19.2<br>Publication date: 2021-06-29                                |
| Distance list                | TGI-Spacer M  | Manufacturer: Technoform<br>EPD Owner: Technoform<br>EPD Author: Technoform<br>EPD platform: INIES<br>Geography: France<br>Publication number: 7-333:2019<br>Publication date: 2019-06-15  |
| Pine by Stora Enso           | Industrial Components   | Manufacturer: Stora Enso<br>EPD Owner: Stora Enso<br>EPD Author: Stora Enso<br>EPD platform: The International EPD® System<br>Geography: Sweden, Finland, Estonia, Lithu-<br>ania<br>Publication number: S-P-02154<br>Publication date: 2020-08-03                               |

# **D** Elitfönster

# Environmental Information Fixed window Wood EFK (Energy glass, weighted production sites)

Potential environmental impact – mandatory indicators according to EN 15804. Some numbers are presented in scientific notation, example: 5,2E-03 equals 0,0052

| Impact category                                | Unit                    | A1       | A2       | А3       | A1-A3    | A4       | A5       |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Climate change - Fossil                        | kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>    | 41,22    | 6,05     | 1,79     | 49,06    | 1,52     | 0,19     |
| Climate change - Biogenic                      | kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>    | -13,47   | 0,01     | 3,22     | -10,25   | 0,00     | 2,56     |
| Climate change – Land use and LU change        | kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>    | 0,06     | 0,00     | 0,01     | 0,07     | 0,00     | 0,00     |
| Climate change                                 | kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>    | 27,93    | 6,06     | 5,05     | 39,04    | 1,53     | 2,75     |
| Ozone depletion                                | kg CFC11 <sub>eq</sub>  | 1,76E-06 | 1,40E-06 | 2,11E-07 | 3,37E-06 | 3,53E-07 | 6,43E-09 |
| Acidification                                  | mol H+ <sub>eq</sub>    | 0,24     | 0,02     | 0,01     | 0,27     | 0,01     | 0,00     |
| Eutrophication, freshwater                     | kg P <sub>eq</sub>      | 2,23E-02 | 1,20E-03 | 1,84E-03 | 2,53E-02 | 3,01E-04 | 3,99E-05 |
| Eutrophication, freshwater                     | kg PO4 <sub>eq</sub>    | 7,26E-03 | 3,90E-04 | 5,99E-04 | 8,25E-03 | 9,81E-05 | 1,30E-05 |
| Eutrophication, marine                         | kg N <sub>eq</sub>      | 5,92E-02 | 7,41E-03 | 3,72E-03 | 7,03E-02 | 1,86E-03 | 2,22E-04 |
| Eutrophication, terrestrial                    | mol N <sub>eq</sub>     | 0,70     | 0,08     | 0,04     | 0,82     | 0,02     | 0,00     |
| Photochemical ozone formation                  | kg NMVOC <sub>eq</sub>  | 7,44E-02 | 2,48E-02 | 1,24E-02 | 1,12E-01 | 6,23E-03 | 5,18E-04 |
| Resource use, minerals and metals <sup>1</sup> | kg Sb eq                | 3,62E-04 | 2,10E-05 | 7,23E-05 | 4,55E-04 | 5,30E-06 | 1,40E-07 |
| Resource use, fossils <sup>1</sup>             | μJ                      | 661      | 91       | 26       | 778,51   | 23       | 1        |
| Water use <sup>1</sup>                         | m³ depriv.              | 12,41    | 0,27     | 0,72     | 13,41    | 0,07     | -0,01    |
| Particulate matter                             | disease inc.            | 3,79E-06 | 5,22E-07 | 9,95E-07 | 5,31E-06 | 1,31E-07 | 5,22E-09 |
| lonising radiation <sup>2</sup>                | kBq U-235 <sub>eq</sub> | 2,78     | 0,47     | 0,22     | 3,47     | 0,12     | 0,00     |
| Ecotoxicity, freshwater <sup>1</sup>           | CTUe                    | 1126     | 71       | 98       | 1296     | 18       | 1        |
| Human toxicity, cancer <sup>1</sup>            | CTUh                    | 2,96E-08 | 2,31E-09 | 5,69E-09 | 3,76E-08 | 5,82E-10 | 1,06E-10 |
| Human toxicity, non-cancer <sup>1</sup>        | CTUh                    | 4,49E-07 | 7,48E-08 | 9,93E-08 | 6,23E-07 | 1,89E-08 | 4,61E-09 |
| Land use <sup>1</sup>                          | Pt                      | 209      | 63       | 213      | 485      | 16       | 0        |

## Climate impact – IPCC 2021 GWP100

| Impact category | Unit                 | A1    | A2   | A3   | A1-A3 | A4   | A5   |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| GHG-GWP         | kg CO <sub>2eq</sub> | 41,09 | 6,00 | 1,78 | 48,87 | 1,51 | 0,19 |

<sup>1</sup> Disclaimer 1 – The results of this environmental impact indicator shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with the indicator.

<sup>2</sup> Disclaimer 2 – This impact category deals mainly with the eventual impact of low dose ionizing radiation on human health of the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents, occupational exposure nor due to radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionizing radiation from the soil, from radon and from some construction materials is also not measured by this indicator.

# **Elitfönster**

# >> Environmental Information Fixed window Wood EFK (Energy glass, weighted production sites)

Potential environmental impact – mandatory indicators according to EN 15804. Some numbers are presented in scientific notation, example: 5,2E-03 equals 0,0052

| Impact category                                | Unit                   | B2       | C2       | C3       | C4       | D         |
|--|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Climate change - Fossil                        | kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>   | 2,81     | 0,36     | 0,04     | 4,92     | -2,25     |
| Climate change - Biogenic                      | kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>   | -0,60    | 0,00     | 0,00     | 8,73     | 0,41      |
| Climate change – Land use and LU change        | kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>   | 0,18     | 0,00     | 0,00     | 0,00     | -0,10     |
| Climate change                                 | kg CO <sub>2eq</sub>   | 2,39     | 0,36     | 0,04     | 13,65    | -1,96     |
| Ozone depletion                                | kg CFC11 <sub>eq</sub> | 3,71E-07 | 8,26E-08 | 1,64E-09 | 1,95E-07 | -2,20E-07 |
| Acidification                                  | mol H+ <sub>eq</sub>   | 0,02     | 0,00     | 0,00     | 0,01     | -0,02     |
| Eutrophication, freshwater                     | kg $P_{eq}$            | 3,02E-03 | 7,06E-05 | 4,78E-05 | 4,95E-04 | -3,44E-03 |
| Eutrophication, freshwater                     | kg PO4 <sub>eq</sub>   | 9,85E-04 | 2,30E-05 | 1,56E-05 | 1,61E-04 | -1,12E-03 |
| Eutrophication, marine                         | kg N <sub>eq</sub>     | 5,56E-03 | 4,37E-04 | 5,42E-05 | 2,44E-03 | -4,63E-03 |
| Eutrophication, terrestrial                    | mol N <sub>eq</sub>    | 0,04     | 0,00     | 0,00     | 0,03     | -0,06     |
| Photochemical ozone formation                  | kg NMVOC <sub>eq</sub> | 1,05E-02 | 1,46E-03 | 1,14E-04 | 7,14E-03 | -1,27E-02 |
| Resource use, minerals and metals <sup>1</sup> | kg Sb eq               | 4,49E-05 | 1,24E-06 | 6,67E-07 | 3,04E-06 | -4,46E-06 |
| Resource use, fossils <sup>1</sup>             | ΓM                     | 44       | 5        | 5        | 15       | -157      |
| Water use <sup>1</sup>                         | m³ depriv.             | 24,37    | 0,02     | 0,06     | -0,03    | -1,65     |
| Particulate matter                             | disease inc.           | 2,00E-07 | 3,08E-08 | 2,64E-09 | 1,43E-07 | -2,62E-07 |
| lonising radiation <sup>2</sup>                | kBq U-235 eq           | 0,24     | 0,03     | 0,37     | 0,07     | -10,18    |
| Ecotoxicity, freshwater <sup>1</sup>           | CTUe                   | 107      | 4        | 2        | 22       | -138      |
| Human toxicity, cancer <sup>1</sup>            | CTUh                   | 3,22E-09 | 1,36E-10 | 4,55E-11 | 3,33E-09 | -5,90E-09 |
| Human toxicity, non-cancer <sup>1</sup>        | CTUh                   | 8,02E-08 | 4,42E-09 | 8,35E-10 | 3,76E-08 | -9,94E-08 |
| Land use <sup>1</sup>                          | Pt                     | 36       | 4        | 1        | 18       | -191      |

## >> Climate impact – IPCC 2021 GWP100

| Impact category | Unit                 | B2   | C2   | С3   | C4   | D     |
|-----------------|----------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| GHG-GWP         | kg CO <sub>2eq</sub> | 2,78 | 0,35 | 0,04 | 4,91 | -2,31 |

<sup>1</sup> Disclaimer 1 – The results of this environmental impact indicator shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with the indicator.

<sup>2</sup> Disclaimer 2 – This impact category deals mainly with the eventual impact of low dose ionizing radiation on human health of the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents, occupational exposure nor due to radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionizing radiation from the soil, from radon and from some construction materials is also not measured by this indicator.



# Use of resources

|               | Unit   | A1   | A2  | A3  | A1-A3              | A4      | A5   |
|---------------|--|--|---|---|--------------------|---------|------|
| PERE          | MJ   | 36,66  | 1,29  | 51,46   | 89,41              | 0,32    | 0,01 |
| PERM          | LM   | 156,18   | 0,00  | 33,44   | 189,62             | 0,00    | 0,00 |
| PERT          | MJ   | 192,84   | 1,29  | 84,90   | 279,03             | 0,32    | 0,01 |
| PENRE         | MJ   | 630,97   | 97,11   | 25,04   | 753,12             | 24,46   | 0,57 |
| PENRM         | MJ   | 41,25  | 0,00  | 2,40  | 43,65              | 0,00    | 0,00 |
| PENRT         | MJ   | 672,22   | 97,11   | 27,44   | 796,77             | 24,46   | 0,57 |
| SM            | Kg   | 7,36   | 0,00  | 0,00  | 7,36               | 0,00    | 0,00 |
| RSF           | MJ   | 0,00   | 0,00  | 23,94   | 23,94              | 0,00    | 0,00 |
| NRSF          | MJ   | 0,00   | 0,00  | 0,00  | 0,00               | 0,00    | 0,00 |
| FW            | m <sup>3</sup>   | 0,13   | 0,02  | 0,01  | 0,16               | 0,00    | 0,00 |
|               |  |  |   |   |                    |         |      |
|               | Unit   | B2   | C2  | C3  | C4                 | D       |      |
| PERE          | MJ   | 14,90  | 0,08  | 2,11  | 0,28               | -101,59 |      |
| PERM          | MJ   | 0,00   | 0,00  | 0,00  | 0,00               | 0,00    |      |
| PERT          | MJ   | 14,90  | 0,08  | 2,11  | 0,28               | -101,59 |      |
| PENRE         | MJ   | 47,56  | 5,73  | 5,14  | 15,49              | -158,55 |      |
| PENRM         | LM   | 0,00   | 0,00  | 0,00  | 0,00               | 0,00    |      |
| PENRT         | MJ   | 47,56  | 5,73  | 5,14  | 15,49              | -158,55 |      |
| SM            | Kg   | 0,00   | 0,00  | 0,00  | 0,00               | 0,00    |      |
| RSF           | MJ   | 0,00   | 0,00  | 0,00  | 0,00               | 0,00    |      |
| NRSF          | MJ   | 0,00   | 0,00  | 0,00  | 0,00               | 0,00    |      |
| FW            | m <sup>3</sup>   | 0,71   | 0,00  | 0,00  | 0,01               | -0,06   |      |
| Abbreviations | PERM = Use of I<br>PERT = Total use<br>PENRE = Use of<br>PENRM = Use o<br>PENRT = Total u<br>SM = Use of sec<br>RSF = Use of rer | renewable primary<br>e of renewable prim<br>non-renewable pri<br>f non-renewable prise<br>of non-renewable prise<br>ondary material;<br>newable secondary<br>non-renewable secondary | energy resources<br>mary energy resou<br>imary energy excli<br>rimary energy reso<br>ble primary energy<br>/ fuels; | used as raw mate<br>rces;<br>uding non-renewa<br>ources used as raw | ble primary energy |         |      |



# Waste production and output flows

### Waste production

| Indicator                    | Unit | A1       | A2       | A3       | A1-A3    | A4       | A5       |
|------------------------------|------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Hazardous waste disposed     | kg   | 4,72E-01 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 4,72E-01 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 |
| Non-hazardous waste disposed | kg   | 1,71E+01 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 1,71E+01 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 |
| Radioactive waste disposed   | kg   | 3,84E-03 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 3,84E-03 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 |
|                              |      |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Indicator                    | Unit | B2       | C2       | С3       | C4       | D        |          |
| Hazardous waste disposed     | kg   | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 |          |
| Non-hazardous waste disposed | kg   | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 |          |
| Radioactive waste disposed   | kg   | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 |          |

#### **Output flows**

| -                             |      | r        |          |          |          |          |          |
|-------------------------------|------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Indicator                     | Unit | A1       | A2       | A3       | A1-A3    | A4       | A5       |
| Components for re-use         | kg   | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 |
| Material for recycling        | kg   | 3,92E-02 | 0,00E+00 | 3,42E+00 | 3,46E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 |
| Materials for energy recovery | kg   | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 5,23E-01 | 5,23E-01 | 0,00E+00 | 1,69E+00 |
| Exported energy, electricity  | MJ   | 4,98E-02 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 4,98E-02 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 |
| Exported energy, thermal      | MJ   | 7,28E-02 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 7,28E-02 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 |
|                               |      |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Indicator                     | Unit | B2       | C2       | С3       | C4       | D        |          |
| Components for re-use         | kg   | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 |          |
| Material for recycling        | kg   | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 1,71E-01 | 0,00E+00 |          |
| Materials for energy recovery | kg   | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 7,02E+00 | 0,00E+00 |          |
| Exported energy, electricity  | MJ   | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 |          |
| Exported energy, thermal      | MJ   | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 | 0,00E+00 |          |

#### Information on biogenic carbon content

| Results per functional or declared unit       |      |     |  |  |  |
|---|------|-----|--|--|--|
| BIOGENIC CARBON CONTENT Unit QUANTITY         |      |     |  |  |  |
| Biogenic carbon content in product            | kg C | 2,7 |  |  |  |
| Biogenic carbon content in packaging kg C 0,9 |      |     |  |  |  |

Note: 1 kg biogenic carbon is equivalent to 44/12 kg CO<sub>2</sub>.



# Annex C – Voluntary use stage scenario based on energy balance calculation – EFK standard glass

Use stage environmental impacts illustrates the annual environmental impacts due to the energy balance of the windows, based on Stockholm heating demand average and an energy balance formula based on the described scenario.

| General information                             |                                   |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
|   |                                   | Comments   |  |  |
| Heating method according to EN<br>17213 annex C | District heating from natural gas | LCI dataset: Heat, central or small-scale,<br>natural gas {RER}  market group for   Cut-off, U   |  |  |
| Cooling method according to EN<br>17213 annex C | Electricity powered air cooler    | LCI dataset: Electricity, low voltage {SE} <br>market for   Cut-off, U   |  |  |
| Climate Zone                                    | III                               | According to Swedish building standards,<br>used climate file: "Stockholm 1981–2010" from the<br>Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute |  |  |
| Annual average temperature                      | 6,6 °C                            | Stockholm  |  |  |
| Min indoor temperature                          | 21 °C                             | Heating stops at this temperature  |  |  |
| Max indoor temperature                          | 27 °C                             | Cooling stops at this temperature  |  |  |
| Cooling Factor                                  | 3                                 | kWh cooling delivered per kWh of electricity   |  |  |
| Model (Calculation)                             | Single room                       |  |  |  |
| Orientation                                     | West (270°)                       |  |  |  |
| Calculation method                              | Hourly                            |  |  |  |
| Modelling program                               | VIP-Energy 4.3.5                  | Modelled as a 1 m <sup>2</sup> room with concrete flooring and no walls or internal loads  |  |  |
| Environmental Impact assessment<br>model        | Environmental Footprint 3.0       |  |  |  |

| Technical specifications      |                            |  |  |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| U-value                       | 1,18 w/m², K               |  |  |
| Gg-value                      | 60 %                       |  |  |
| Gw-value                      | 51 %                       |  |  |
| Air leakage class             | 4                          |  |  |
| Air leakage flow at +/- 50 Pa | 0,2 l/s,m <sup>2</sup>     |  |  |
| Daylight factor, LT-value     | 75 %                       |  |  |
| Glass/frame ratio             | 0,85                       |  |  |
| Total heating demand          | 86,50 kWh heat/year        |  |  |
| Total cooling demand          | 26,19 kWh electricity/year |  |  |



# >> Annex C – Voluntary use stage scenario based on energy balance calculation – EFK standard glass

The results below are the environmental impacts that are presented in line with instructions from EN 17213 appendix C. It is worth noting that some units are differing from units that are presented in results for the LCA. For comparison, multiply the result below by the following factors:

Acidification: 1.31 to report kg SO2, eq as mol H +, eq Eutrophication: 0.33 to report kg PO4-3, eq. Kg P, eq Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential: 1.69 to report kg C2H4, eq as kg NMVOC, eq

| Yearly  | y environmental | impacts                                       |   |
|---|-----------------|---|---|
| Environmental impact category                       | Unit            | Environmental impacts of heating, natural gas | Environmental impacts of cooling, electricity |
| Global Warming Potential                            | kg CO2,eq       | 25,64   | 0,98  |
| Ozone Depletion Potential                           | kg CFC-11eq     | 2,68E-06                                      | 4,29E-08                                      |
| Acidification Potential                             | kg SO2,eq       | 2,26E-02                                      | 4,70E-03                                      |
| Eutrophication Potential                            | kg PO4-3,eq     | 2,56E-03                                      | 1,59E-03                                      |
| Photochemical Ozone Creation<br>Potential           | kg C₂H₄         | 1,56E-02                                      | 1,83E-03                                      |
| Abiotic Depletion Potential, mine-<br>rals & metals | kg Sb,eq        | 3,12E-05                                      | 6,09E-05                                      |
| Abiotic Depletion Potential, fuels.                 | MJ              | 384   | 121   |



# Annex C – Voluntary use stage scenario based on energy balance calculation – EFK energy glass

Use stage environmental impacts illustrates the annual environmental impacts due to the energy balance of the windows, based on Stockholm heating demand average and an energy balance formula based on the described scenario.

| General information                             |                                   |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
|   |                                   | Comments   |  |  |
| Heating method according to EN<br>17213 annex C | District heating from natural gas | LCI dataset: Heat, central or small-scale,<br>natural gas {RER}  market group for   Cut-off, U   |  |  |
| Cooling method according to EN<br>17213 annex C | Electricity powered air cooler    | LCI dataset: Electricity, low voltage {SE} <br>market for   Cut-off, U   |  |  |
| Climate Zone                                    | III                               | According to Swedish building standards,<br>used climate file: "Stockholm 1981–2010" from the<br>Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute |  |  |
| Annual average temperature                      | 6,6 °C                            | Stockholm  |  |  |
| Min indoor temperature                          | 21 °C                             | Heating stops at this temperature  |  |  |
| Max indoor temperature                          | 27 °C                             | Cooling stops at this temperature  |  |  |
| Cooling Factor                                  | 3                                 | kWh cooling delivered per kWh of electricity   |  |  |
| Model (Calculation)                             | Single room                       |  |  |  |
| Orientation                                     | West (270°)                       |  |  |  |
| Calculation method                              | Hourly                            |  |  |  |
| Modelling program                               | VIP-Energy 4.3.5                  | Modelled as a 1 m <sup>2</sup> room with concrete flooring and no walls or internal loads  |  |  |
| Environmental Impact assessment<br>model        | Environmental Footprint 3.0       |  |  |  |

| Technical specifications      |                            |  |  |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| U-value                       | 0,91 w/m², K               |  |  |
| Gg-value                      | 53 %                       |  |  |
| Gw-value                      | 45 %                       |  |  |
| Air leakage class             | 4                          |  |  |
| Air leakage flow at +/- 50 Pa | 0,2 l/s,m <sup>2</sup>     |  |  |
| Daylight factor, LT-value     | 74 %                       |  |  |
| Glass/frame ratio             | 0,85                       |  |  |
| Total heating demand          | 62,55 kWh heat/year        |  |  |
| Total cooling demand          | 24,63 kWh electricity/year |  |  |



# >> Annex C – Voluntary use stage scenario based on energy balance calculation – EFK energy glass

The results below are the environmental impacts that are presented in line with instructions from EN 17213 appendix C. It is worth noting that some units are differing from units that are presented in results for the LCA. For comparison, multiply the result below by the following factors:

Acidification: 1.31 to report kg SO2, eq as mol H +, eq Eutrophication: 0.33 to report kg PO4-3, eq. Kg P, eq Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential: 1.69 to report kg C2H4, eq as kg NMVOC, eq

| Yearly environmental impacts                        |             |   |   |
|---|-------------|---|---|
| Environmental impact category                       | Unit        | Environmental impacts of heating, natural gas | Environmental impacts of cooling, electricity |
| Global Warming Potential                            | kg CO2,eq   | 20,35   | 0,91  |
| Ozone Depletion Potential                           | kg CFC-11eq | 2,13E-06                                      | 3,98E-08                                      |
| Acidification Potential                             | kg SO2,eq   | 1,80E-02                                      | 4,37E-03                                      |
| Eutrophication Potential                            | kg PO4-3,eq | 2,03E-03                                      | 1,48E-03                                      |
| Photochemical Ozone Creation<br>Potential           | kg C₂H₄     | 1,24E-02                                      | 1,71E-03                                      |
| Abiotic Depletion Potential, mine-<br>rals & metals | kg Sb,eq    | 2,48E-05                                      | 5,66E-05                                      |
| Abiotic Depletion Potential, fuels.                 | MJ          | 305   | 112   |

# **D** Elitfönster

# **General information**

| Programme inform | ation   |
|------------------|---|
| Programme:       | The International EPD <sup>®</sup> System                           |
| Address:         | EPD International AB<br>Box 210 60<br>SE-100 31 Stockholm<br>Sweden |
| Website:         | www.environdec.com  |
| E-mail:          | info@environdec.com   |

CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the Core Product Category Rules (PCR)

Product category rules (PCR): Construction products and construction services. Version 1.1

PCR review was conducted by: PCR Committee: IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute,

Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, SP Trä, Swedish Wood Preservation Institute, Swedisol,

SCDA, Svenskt Limträ AB, SSAB

Moderator: Martin Erlandsson, IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute

Independent third-party verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025:2006:

EPD process certification

Third party verifier: Martyna Mikusinska, Sweco, Individual verifier approved by the International EPD® System

Procedure for follow-up of data during EPD validity involves third party verifier:

🗌 Yes 🛛 🗶 No

The EPD owner has the sole ownership, liability, and responsibility for the EPD.

EPDs within the same product category but from different programs may not be comparable. EPDs of construction products may not be comparable if they do not comply with EN 15804. For further information about comparability, see EN 15804 and ISO 14025.



# References

General Programme Instructions of the International EPD® System. Version 4.00.

EN 15804:2012+A2:2019, "Sustainability of construction works – Environmental product declarations – Core rules for the product category of construction products"

EN ISO 14025:2014-02 Environmental labels and declarations - Type III environmental declarations - Principles and procedures, Edited in 2010.

EN ISO 14040:2006 Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework, 2006.

EN ISO 14044:2006 Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Requirements and guidelines, 2006.

ILCD International guide for life-cycle data system. General guide for life cycle assessment – Detailed guidance, 2010.

PCR Construction Products and Construction Services. 2019:14, version 1.11, the International EPD System, Date 2021-02-25.

PRé Consultants, "SimaPro 9.3" (PRé Consultants, 2019), http://www.pre-sustainability.com/simapro.

Ecoinvent, https://www.ecoinvent.org/database/database.html

Hakkarainen Viktor, Miljögiraff AB, LCA Elitfönster Miljögiraff report 1089 steg 5, 2022-04-01.

Brånäs, Mats, Elitfönster, Certification and testing manager, mats.branas@elitfonster.se

